

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/988,140	MOMOSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Myles D. Robinson	2625	

All Participants:

(1) Myles D. Robinson.

(2) Andrew Harry.

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 December 2006

Time: 1:14pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner left a voicemail for the Applicant to check on the status of Applicant's response to the rejection. The Applicant returned the voicemail and had decided to abandon the application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)